Safeguarding Indigenous Wisdom for Indigenous Communities in Empangeni Rural Areas: A Decolonial Approach to Life Sciences Curriculum Transformation and Community Empowerment

Buthelezi Penelope Zamashenge Gugulethu

This paper explores the preservation and promotion of Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) within the rural communities of Empangeni in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, with a particular focus on transforming the Life Sciences curriculum through decolonial and community-based approaches. Grounded in the Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) framework and Indigenous Research Paradigm, the study emphasizes the critical role of ancestral wisdom, spirituality, ecology, and traditional practices in sustaining cultural identity and socio-economic empowerment. Drawing from participatory engagements and conceptual analysis, the research interrogates how the erosion of Indigenous Knowledge through urbanization, Western epistemological dominance, and generational disconnect has weakened the transmission of ancestral wisdom. The study proposes safeguarding Indigenous Knowledge as a process of revitalizing cultural continuity, affirming identity, and integrating Indigenous epistemologies into formal Life Sciences education. By positioning Indigenous communities as co-creators of knowledge, the paper advances an inclusive educational model that bridges Western scientific and Indigenous worldviews, contributing to curriculum transformation, entrepreneurship, and environmental sustainability. Findings reveal that the preservation of ancestral wisdom not only restores cultural dignity but also strengthens intergenerational learning, fosters innovation through indigenous entrepreneurship, and situates Life Sciences education as a living, relational discipline rooted in both cultural and ecological integrity. The paper concludes with recommendations for policy, pedagogy, and institutional partnerships aimed at decolonizing education while empowering Indigenous communities in Empangeni and beyond.

Key words: *Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS),Ancestral wisdom, Life Sciences Education,decolonial curriculum, Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR), Indigenous entrepreneurship*

DOI : 10.5281/zenodo.17633996

Introduction

Across the landscapes of South Africa's rural Empangeni region, the echoes of ancestral wisdom continue to resonate through oral storytelling, healing practices, spiritual rituals, and ecological stewardship. Yet these echoes are fading. The intrusion of Eurocentric education models, urbanization, globalization, and technological homogenization has profoundly disrupted Indigenous epistemologies that once defined communal life. The survival of ancestral knowledge—embedded in the environment, spirituality, and social relationships—is increasingly uncertain. For many rural communities, this loss represents more than cultural erosion; it signifies a rupture in identity, ecological harmony, and intergenerational continuity.

The University of Zululand (UNIZULU), situated in the heart of Empangeni's rural landscape, holds both a responsibility and an opportunity to reimagine education in ways that center Indigenous voices. This paper responds to that call through a scholarly yet deeply human inquiry into how ancestral wisdom can be safeguarded, revitalized, and integrated into Life Sciences education. Rooted in Afrocentric and decolonial thought, the study acknowledges

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) as living epistemologies that coexist with, rather than oppose, Western scientific paradigms. It argues that the restoration of IKS is essential not only for curriculum transformation but also for socio-economic empowerment through community-led entrepreneurship, cultural museums, and art initiatives.

The urgency of safeguarding Indigenous wisdom has become globally recognized. As Suman and Shanu (2021) assert, formal education systems have historically marginalized alternative ways of knowing, reducing Indigenous epistemologies to supplementary anecdotes. Mehta and Henriksen (2022) further note that decolonizing education begins with democratizing knowledge production, recognizing the intellectual legitimacy of non-Western epistemes. Within this discourse, the Empangeni case presents a microcosm of broader African struggles: how can Indigenous Knowledge be preserved without fossilizing it, and how can it inform the future of Life Sciences teaching in ways that empower local communities?

Empangeni's rural communities, particularly those situated around the Ongoye forest and mountain regions, embody centuries of ancestral wisdom encoded in ecological practices, medicinal plant use, and spiritual interdependence with nature. However, these living systems face erosion as younger generations drift toward urban lifestyles detached from Indigenous practices. The challenge, therefore, lies not only in documentation but in revitalization, ensuring that Indigenous Knowledge remains dynamic, intergenerational, and integrative.

This study emerges at the intersection of three critical imperatives: (1) **decolonizing Life Sciences education**, (2) **preserving Indigenous cultural heritage**, and (3) **empowering rural communities through knowledge-based entrepreneurship**. It proposes that safeguarding ancestral wisdom requires community-led research that honors local epistemologies, engages elders as co-researchers, and situates educational reform within cultural and ecological contexts. The integration of Indigenous Knowledge in Life Sciences teaching, when grounded in respect, reciprocity, and relationality, has transformative potential for learners, educators, and entire communities.

At its heart, this research is a story of reconnection between people and land, elders and youth, spirituality and science. It reflects an African humanist philosophy, *ubuntu*, where knowledge is communal and relational, where the act of learning becomes an ethical encounter with ancestors and the environment. Through this lens, safeguarding Indigenous wisdom is not a nostalgic return to the past but a creative act of renewal, one that repositions Indigenous voices at the center of scientific inquiry and educational transformation.

2. Background and Context

Empangeni's Indigenous communities represent a repository of ancestral wisdom that has sustained generations through complex ecological, spiritual, and medicinal knowledge systems. Embedded in the Zulu cultural landscape, these systems govern relationships between humans, the natural environment, and the spiritual realm. Traditional healers, herbalists, and elders function as custodians of ecological balance, using local biodiversity not merely as a resource but as a living network of reciprocal care.

Yet, over the last century, these systems have experienced systemic marginalization. Western education, colonial legal frameworks, and postcolonial modernization projects have often dismissed Indigenous Knowledge as "unscientific" or "primitive." Such epistemic violence (as termed by de Sousa Santos, 2018) manifests in the exclusion of Indigenous voices from curriculum design and research leadership. Consequently, the younger generation, educated in Westernized schoolsoften lacks exposure to Indigenous epistemologies, creating a widening gap between cultural inheritance and modern education.

Empangeni, located within KwaZulu-Natal's King Cetshwayo District, exemplifies this tension. Despite being geographically rural, its proximity to industrial hubs exposes it to rapid socio-economic change, often at the expense of traditional practices. Indigenous languages, rituals, and land-use knowledge are increasingly displaced by urban influence and consumer culture. As Turner, Cuerrier, and Joseph (2022) observe, such displacements threaten both biodiversity and biocultural heritage, since Indigenous ecological knowledge often underpins sustainable environmental practices.

At the institutional level, the University of Zululand occupies a unique position. As a rural university with historical ties to Indigenous communities, it has the moral obligation to bridge academic knowledge and local wisdom. However, as the proposal identifies, outreach programs and research initiatives have not fully engaged with community-based knowledge systems. The gap between academia and ancestral communities persists, limiting the transformative potential of higher education in rural development.

This paper, therefore, situates itself within a broader movement to reimagine African universities as spaces of epistemic justicewhere Indigenous worldviews inform not only teaching but also research ethics, community engagement, and socio-economic innovation. In the context of Life Sciences education, this involves expanding the definition of science to include Indigenous taxonomies, ecological relationships, and spiritual understandings of life processes. The aim is not to replace Western science but to co-exist and co-create with Indigenous epistemologies, crafting a pluralistic curriculum that reflects South Africa's cultural diversity and environmental realities.

Transition to Literature Review

The following section reviews scholarly perspectives that frame the safeguarding of Indigenous Knowledge within broader debates on decoloniality, educational transformation, and sustainability. It explores how IKS has been theorized in relation to ecology, spirituality, pedagogy, and entrepreneurship, situating Empangeni's experiences within continental and global conversations about the coexistence of multiple ways of knowing.

3. Literature Review

3.1. Indigenous Knowledge Systems as Living Epistemologies

Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IKS) represent more than mere cultural traditions, they embody living epistemologies that articulate how communities understand, interpret, and sustain life in their ecological and spiritual contexts. As Mohanapriya and Suriya (2025) emphasize, IKS encompasses cumulative knowledge, skills, and philosophies developed through generations of interaction with the natural world. It is relational, experiential, and deeply embedded in social and spiritual values. Within African contexts, knowledge is not compartmentalized into discrete scientific or cultural categories; rather, it flows through stories, ceremonies, ecological stewardship, and spiritual practices.

For the Zulu communities of Empangeni, ancestral wisdom is interwoven with the land and cosmos. It informs medicinal practices, agricultural cycles, and environmental ethics rooted in reciprocity. Such wisdom is transmitted orally through elders, healers, and community rituals, making it vulnerable to erosion when traditional modes of transmission are disrupted by modernity. Gómez-Baggethun (2022) warns that the degradation of local knowledge under globalization undermines both cultural resilience and biodiversity. Thus, safeguarding IKS is a matter of ecological and cultural survival.

3.2. The Colonial Legacy in Education and the Need for Decolonial Curriculum Transformation

Western scientific education has historically positioned itself as the universal model of rationality, relegating Indigenous Knowledge to the margins. Mehta and Henriksen (2022) argue that Eurocentric epistemologies in education perpetuate a colonial hierarchy of knowledge where only Western modes of inquiry are validated as "scientific." In Life Sciences classrooms, this manifests as a curriculum that privileges laboratory-based empiricism while dismissing the spiritual, ecological, and ethical dimensions of Indigenous science.

Decolonial theory challenges this asymmetry. Scholars such as Maldonado-Torres (2016) and Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2020) conceptualize decoloniality as a project of epistemic liberation, reclaiming the right of colonized peoples to define what counts as knowledge and who qualifies as a knower. Within the South African context, decolonizing Life Sciences education involves embedding Indigenous epistemologies into curriculum design, pedagogy, and assessment. Buthelezi (2025) articulates this as "conceptualizing ancestral Life Sciences," wherein Indigenous understandings of lifespiritual, ecological and relationalare treated as legitimate scientific knowledge systems.

This reimagined curriculum does not reject Western science; instead, it invites dialogue between knowledge systems, enabling learners to see science as plural rather than singular. Such dialogical coexistence resonates with Silvestru's (2023) notion of "weaving relations," which describes how Indigenous and Western paradigms can interact constructively in education for sustainable development.

3.3. Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) as a Decolonial Methodology

CBPR is both a research method and an ethical stance. It emerged as a response to extractive research traditions that have historically objectified Indigenous peoples. As McFarlane et al. (2024) explain, CBPR places community members at the centre of the research processas co-researchers, decision-makers, and beneficiaries of outcomes. It privileges reciprocity, relationship-building, and shared ownership of knowledge.

In Indigenous contexts, CBPR aligns with the philosophical principle of *ubuntu* "I am because we are." It values collective wisdom over individual expertise and insists that research must contribute tangibly to community well-being. When applied in Empangeni, CBPR ensures that knowledge keepers and elders guide the process of documentation, interpretation, and dissemination. This approach safeguards against misrepresentation and cultural appropriation, while reinforcing community authority over their epistemological heritage.

3.4. Indigenous Knowledge, Sustainability, and Environmental Ethics

Empangeni's ancestral wisdom is inherently ecological. Traditional practices such as herbal medicine, sustainable agriculture, and sacred rituals reflect an intimate relationship between humans and the environment. Turner, Cuerrier, and Joseph (2022) affirm that Indigenous peoples' knowledge systems are foundational to global sustainability because they are grounded in stewardship, balance, and continuity.

In the Zulu worldview, the land is not a commodity but a living relative, an extension of the self. This ontology challenges Western anthropocentrism and calls for an ecocentric approach,a worldview and pedagogical orientation that places the ecosystem, not the humans, at the centre of learning and value systems to science education. Integrating Indigenous ecological ethics into Life Sciences teaching, therefore, promotes a deeper understanding of sustainability as a moral and spiritual duty rather than a technical solution.

3.5. Indigenous Entrepreneurship and Socio-Economic Empowerment

Beyond education, Indigenous Knowledge holds transformative potential for rural development through community-driven entrepreneurship. Dias et al. (2023) and Makgamatha (2025) demonstrate how Indigenous craftsmanship, herbal medicine, and eco-tourism can be harnessed as sustainable livelihood strategies when coupled with ethical commercialization. Such initiatives must, however, protect Indigenous intellectual property (IP) and avoid exploitative appropriation.

The proposed UNIZULU museum and art shop initiativearticulated in the original projectembody this principle. They serve as community-led spaces where ancestral wisdom can be preserved, displayed, and translated into socio-economic opportunity. Barman (2024) advocates for such ethical entrepreneurship models that balance cultural

preservation with innovation. These initiatives not only sustain livelihoods but also function as educational ecosystems where learners experience living science through indigenous artefacts and ecological products.

3.6. Teaching Methodologies: Reimagining Life Sciences through Indigenous Pedagogies

Pedagogy grounded in IKS challenges conventional classroom hierarchies. Wallin and Tunison (2022) emphasize relational learning, pedagogies that centre relationships among learners, teachers, and the natural world. In Indigenous teaching traditions, learning is experiential, narrative, and place based. Perry (2024) underscores storytelling as a vital pedagogical tool for transmitting knowledge, values, and identity.

Incorporating Indigenous pedagogies into Life Sciences classrooms transforms abstract science into lived experience. Learners engage with the environment directly identifying medicinal plants, observing ecological cycles, and interacting with community elders. Such experiential learning bridges scientific concepts with ancestral wisdom, enhancing relevance and retention. El Yazidi and Rijal (2024) argue that integrating IKS into science education promotes inclusivity, cultural pride, and cognitive engagement.

3.7. Summary

The reviewed literature converges on three interconnected imperatives that frame the transformation of Life Sciences education within Indigenous contexts. First, decolonizing education requires legitimizing Indigenous epistemologies as co-equal to Western scientific paradigms, thereby dismantling the hierarchical structures that have historically excluded local ways of knowing. Second, empowering communities through participatory research and ethical entrepreneurship ensures that Indigenous peoples are not passive subjects of study but active co-creators of knowledge and custodians of their intellectual heritage. Third, sustaining ecological balance demands honoring Indigenous environmental ethics, which are rooted in principles of reciprocity, respect, and harmony with the natural world. Collectively, these imperatives position the safeguarding of Indigenous wisdom in Empangeni as both a cultural and educational transformation project, one that redefines what counts as "science" and challenges the dominant narratives of who holds the authority to define it. This holistic framework underscores that true educational reform is inseparable from cultural renewal and ecological justice, as the vitality of Indigenous Knowledge lies in its living relationship with community, land, and spirit.

4. Methodology

4.1. Research Paradigm and Philosophy

The study adopts a Participatory and Indigenous Research Paradigm, grounded in constructivist and transformative philosophies. This paradigm views knowledge as socially constructed and contextually grounded. Constructivism recognizes that meaning emerges through human interaction with the environment, while the transformative dimension seeks social justice through research.

As Masoga (2023) notes, Indigenous epistemologies operate within relational and spiritual dimensions that challenge Western notions of objectivity. The research acknowledges that Indigenous Knowledge is not static but livingshaped by time, place, and communal memory. Therefore, the researcher is not an outsider but a co-participant in the knowledge creation process. This philosophy aligns with the interpretivist view that reality is multiple and co-constructed through dialogue and experience.

The Indigenous Research Paradigm honors*relational accountability* (Wilson, Mikahere-Hall, & Sherwood, 2022): knowledge is not owned but shared, and the researcher's responsibility is to uphold respect, reciprocity, and relevance to the community.

4.2. Research Approach: Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR)

The study employs Community-Based Participatory Research **(CBPR)** as both methodology and ethical framework. CBPR integrates community members, particularly elders, traditional healers, and youthas co-researchers rather than subjects. This approach builds trust and ensures that the research outcomes reflect Indigenous priorities and values (McFarlane et al., 2024).

In practice, this involves:

a. Building reciprocal relationships with community leaders and elders.
b. Co-designing research instruments and protocols in isiZulu.
c. Conducting participatory observations, storytelling sessions, and focus groups.
d. Co-interpreting findings and validating them through community review sessions.

Through CBPR, the research becomes an act of *knowledge restoration* rather than extraction. It promotes ethical co-creation, where academic and Indigenous voices meet in mutual learning.

4.3. Research Design

The research adopts a qualitative, exploratory, and cross-sectional design. This design allows for in-depth exploration of Indigenous wisdom across various Empangeni villages. Qualitative methods are ideal for capturing the richness of oral traditions, symbolic practices, and lived experiences that quantitative methods may overlook (Susanto et al., 2024).

Ethnographic fieldwork, observations, focus groups and semi-structured interviewsforms the core of data generation. The semi-structured format encourages storytelling and open dialogue, allowing participants to express insights organically. Focus group discussions facilitate collective reflection, reinforcing the communal nature of Indigenous Knowledge.

4.4. Study Context and Participants

The study focuses on three rural Indigenous communities situated within the King Cetshwayo District. Participants include elders (knowledge keepers), traditional healers, artisans, and younger community members engaged in learning or practicing Indigenous crafts and rituals. The inclusion of intergenerational participants reflects the study's aim of bridging the gap between knowledge holders and future custodians.

4.5. Sampling and Recruitment

Purposive sampling is employed to select participants with deep Indigenous Knowledge. Following Nyimbili and Nyimbili (2024), purposive sampling allows the researcher to target individuals who embody rich experiential and cultural insights. The sample size is determined by data saturationdata collection continues until no new themes emerge. Participants are selected based on expertise in traditional medicine, ecological practices, and cultural leadership roles.

Recruitment is facilitated through community gatekeepers and traditional councils, ensuring that cultural protocols are observed. Participants are briefed on the study's objectives and their rights in isiZulu, promoting transparency and trust.

4.6. Data Collection Techniques

4.6.1. Semi-Structured Interviews

Conducted in isiZulu, these interviews allow participants to narrate their experiences, beliefs, and practices related to ancestral wisdom. Storytelling is used as a data collection tool, aligning with Indigenous oral traditions.

4.6.2. Focus Group Discussions

Organized by age and gender, focus groups encourage collective reflection on the preservation and transmission of Indigenous Knowledge. Discussions explore community perceptions of education, challenges of continuity, and visions for safeguarding wisdom in a modern world (Hunt & Young, 2021).

4.6.3. Participant Observation

The researcher immerses within community life, attending ceremonies, visiting herbal gardens, and observing teaching moments between elders and youth. This provides contextual depth and authenticity (Fatiha, 2021). Audio-visual recordings and field notes complement these observations, preserving the multisensory essence of Indigenous practices.

4.7. Data Analysis

Data is analyzed using Saldana's coding framework (Gupta, 2024). The process involves multiple cycles of codingopen, axial, and thematicto identify patterns, categories, and emergent themes. Transcribed isiZulu narratives are translated into English, with careful attention to preserving cultural nuance.

Themes include:

1. **Cultural continuity and identity**
2. **Ecological wisdom and spirituality**
3. **Intergenerational learning**
4. **Community empowerment through entrepreneurship**

Following Saldana's (2024) approach, analysis progressed through three cycles:

First Cycle (Open Coding): Initial codes were derived inductively from field notes, interviews, and storytelling transcripts in isiZulu.

Second Cycle (Axial Coding): Codes were grouped into categories based on conceptual similarity.

Third Cycle (Thematic Distillation): Broader themes were synthesized and refined collaboratively with community co-researchers (elders, teachers, artisans).

This process was guided by *relational accountability*, ensuring that themes remained true to participants' meanings and collective values. Quotes were translated and verified with community members to maintain cultural authenticity.

4.7.1 Cultural Continuity and Identity

Category	Initial Codes	Theme	Subthemes
Preservation of indigenous rituals	*ukubuyisaamadlozi* (ancestral calling), *ukuhlabainkomo* (ritual slaughter), storytelling	Cultural continuity and identity	1.1 Oral transmission of wisdom 1.2 Rituals as cultural education 1.3 Symbolism and spirituality in identity
Language as a vessel of identity	isiZulu proverbs, naming practices, songs		1.4 Linguistic preservation as cultural resistance
Ancestral connection	Respect for ancestors, sacred sites, moral codes		1.5 Spiritual identity and belonging

Interpretive Narrative

Participants described ancestral rituals and oral storytelling as the "heartbeat" of their culture, an educational process as much as a spiritual one. One elder explained: *"When a child learns the praise names of their ancestors, they begin to know who they are."*Language, particularly isiZulu, emerged as a cultural technology of memory, transmitting

values across generations. Rituals like *ukubuyisaamadlozi* were viewed as spiritual acts that reinforce ethical conduct and communal unity.Thus, cultural continuity is sustained not through written records, but through *embodied, performative acts of remembering*. The **SUBTHEMES** demonstrate how cultural identity is not static heritage but a lived, evolving form of moral and ecological education.

4.7.2 Ecological Wisdom and Spirituality

Category	Initial Codes	Theme	Subthemes
Indigenous ecological practices	Harvesting with respect, seasonal planting, sacred groves	Ecological wisdom and spirituality	2.1 Reciprocity with nature 2.2 Sacred ecology and spiritual stewardship
Medicinal knowledge	Herb identification, ancestral healing songs, plant rituals		2.3 Traditional medicine as ecological literacy
Environmental ethics	Avoiding over-harvesting, taboos on sacred forests		2.4 Ecological spirituality and moral responsibility

Interpretive **Narrative:**

Field discussions revealed that Indigenous ecological knowledge is inseparable from spirituality. Participants used metaphors such as *"The forest is our ancestor's home"* to describe moral and spiritual relationships with nature. The coding revealed recurring references to *reciprocity* and *respect*central ecological ethics within Zulu cosmology. Harvesting herbs was never merely utilitarian; it was a spiritual dialogue with the land. This reflects what Turner et al. (2022) describe as relational sustainability, where environmental care arises from sacred obligation, not regulation.**SUBTHEMES** therefore highlight ecological stewardship as both spiritual discipline and scientific understanding. The fusion of medicinal knowledge and spiritual ecology underscores the epistemic unity of natural and metaphysical worlds.

4.7.3 Intergenerational Learning

Category	Initial Codes	Theme	Subthemes
Knowledge transmission	Storytelling sessions, mentorship by elders, initiation rituals	Intergenerational learning	3.1 Storytelling as pedagogy 3.2 Mentorship and apprenticeship learning
Youth participation	School partnerships, field trips, community gardens		3.3 Experiential learning through practice
Challenges to continuity	Youth migration, loss of interest, digital distractions		3.4 Knowledge gap between elders and youth
Solutions proposed	School–community partnerships, Life Sciences integration		3.5 Educational pathways for continuity

Interpretive **Narrative**

This **THEME** emerged strongly in interviews with both elders and teachers. Participants lamented that *"children know the phone better than the forest"*, symbolizing a generational shift away from Indigenous spaces of learning.However, the study also uncovered resilience. Community initiatives such as school garden projects and oral heritage clubs are reintroducing Indigenous pedagogies into local education. These embody *ubuntu-based pedagogy*, learning through relationship, observation, and reciprocity.Storytelling was identified as both a teaching method and an archive of ecological memory. Elders use narrative to encode medicinal knowledge, ethics, and history. These findings affirm Perry (2024) and Wallin & Tunison (2022): storytelling is science in narrative form, connecting emotion, identity, and ecology.

4.7.4 Community Empowerment through Entrepreneurship

Category	Initial Codes	Theme	Subthemes
Traditional crafts and artistry	Beadwork, pottery, weaving, traditional attire	Community empowerment through entrepreneurship	4.1 Heritage-based livelihood creation
Medicinal and ecological products	Herbal ointments, healing teas, natural dyes		4.2 Indigenous bioproduct innovation
Market access and IP rights	Fair trade, community ownership, legal awareness		4.3 Ethical commercialization and intellectual property protection
Youth involvement	Skills training, mentorship, economic independence		4.4 Empowering youth as knowledge transmitters
Institutional partnerships	UNIZULU museum, art shop, curriculum projects		4.5 Bridging academia and community enterprise

Interpretive Narrative:
Community narratives revealed that entrepreneurship rooted in Indigenous Knowledge represents a continuation of ancestral economy, not a departure from tradition. Elders described beadwork, healing, and pottery as *"knowledge you can hold in your hands."*
The**THEME** revealed a dual goal: economic resilience and cultural renewal. Participants emphasized that empowerment must remain ethicalprofits must circulate within the community and benefit the original knowledge holders. The proposed UNIZULU museum and art shop embody this principle by creating spaces for intergenerational learning and heritage commerce.**SUBTHEMES** demonstrate how entrepreneurship functions as both economic strategy and cultural pedagogypreserving wisdom through production, trade, and teaching.

5. Thematic Integration (Cross-Theme Relationships)
The four major **THEMES** interconnect through a unifying **META-THEME** of **"Ancestral Knowledge as Living Science."**

Intersecting Domains	Integration Summary
Cultural continuity ↔ Ecological wisdom	Culture is maintained through ecological rituals; ecology gains meaning through cultural identity.
Ecological wisdom ↔ Intergenerational learning	Environmental knowledge is transferred through storytelling, apprenticeship, and sacred practice.
Intergenerational learning ↔ Entrepreneurship	Youth engagement in traditional crafts links education to livelihood, ensuring sustainability.
Cultural continuity ↔ Entrepreneurship	Selling artefacts and herbal products becomes a means of transmitting culture in the modern economy.

This integrated framework illustrates that Indigenous Knowledge is not fragmented into disciplines; rather, it forms a holistic, self-sustaining system of knowledge, ethics, and economy. Safeguarding ancestral wisdom thus requires reinforcing all four domains simultaneously, preserving rituals, protecting ecosystems, revitalizing pedagogy, and supporting ethical entrepreneurship.

6. Synthesis of Analytical Insights
Through thematic coding and analysis, four interdependent realities emerged:

1. **IKS as Identity:** Indigenous Knowledge is the embodiment of cultural being a living philosophy that informs morality, belonging, and self-definition.
2. **IKS as Ecology:** Knowledge is inseparable from environment; ecological wisdom sustains both biodiversity and spiritual harmony.
3. **IKS as Pedagogy:** Learning occurs through relationship, observation, and storytelling an epistemology that complements constructivist theories.
4. **IKS as Livelihood:** Economic empowerment rooted in ancestral practices ensures both sustainability and dignity for rural communities.

These findings affirm that the safeguarding of Indigenous wisdom in Empangeni is a multidimensional endeavour cultural, ecological, educational, and economic.Themes are refined through discussions with community co-researchers, ensuring validity through member checking (Taherdoost, 2021). Reflexive journaling by the researcher enhances interpretive integrity.

4.8. Ethical Considerations
The study follows the University of Zululand's Research Ethics Guide (2023). Ethical approval is obtained prior to data collection. Key principles include informed consent, cultural sensitivity, confidentiality, and benefit sharing. Participants retain ownership of their narratives, and community leaders are consulted before dissemination.To ensure cultural safety, knowledge of spiritual or sacred significance is treated with confidentiality. Findings are shared with the community in accessible formatsworkshops, visual exhibitions, and isiZulu summariesto promote reciprocity. Data is securely stored in password-protected files, and no identifiers are used in publications.

Transition to Findings & Discussion
The next section presents a conceptual analysis of emergent themes, weaving together the empirical insights and theoretical foundations to illuminate how safeguarding Indigenous wisdom can transform Life Sciences education and community development in Empangeni.

5. Findings and Discussion: Conceptual Analysis

5.1. Reclaiming Indigenous Voice and Authority

The conceptual analysis emerging from the study foregrounds the necessity of returning voice and authority to the Indigenous people of Empangeni. Through the Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) approach, knowledge holders'elders, healers and artisanswere positioned not as research subjects but as co-creators of meaning. This approach restored dignity and agency to the custodians of ancestral wisdom.
The findings reveal that Indigenous communities perceive their knowledge as *living heritage*, inseparable from land, spirituality, and social ethics. Participants consistently emphasized that wisdom cannot be preserved through written documentation alone but through lived practice, intergenerational exchange, and ritual observance. This insight

echoes Wilson et al. (2022), who affirm that knowledge in Indigenous worldviews is relational rather than transactional.

Reclaiming Indigenous voice thus becomes an act of epistemic justice, a way of dismantling colonial hierarchies that once silenced local knowers. In practical terms, it calls for universities such as UNIZULU to redefine research partnerships, placing Indigenous communities at the center of knowledge production.

5.2. Cultural Continuity and Intergenerational Learning

The study identified *intergenerational disconnection* as a primary threat to the survival of Indigenous Knowledge. Elders lamented that younger generations, educated in Westernized systems, often view ancestral practices as outdated. Yet, these same practices contain the moral and ecological foundations of sustainable living.

Storytelling, mentorship, and community ceremonies were highlighted as key transmission methods. Their decline under modern schooling systems creates a cultural vacuum. Participants proposed the revival of youth mentorship programswhere elders teach traditional healing, craftwork, and ecological ethicsto bridge generational divides. This finding aligns with Perry (2024), who underscores storytelling as a pedagogical bridge that nurtures identity and belonging.

The analysis affirms that intergenerational learning is not merely cultural preservation; it is an epistemic continuum essential for community resilience and identity. In Life Sciences education, incorporating these practices can foster contextual learning that connects theory with lived experience.

5.3. Ecological Wisdom and Spirituality

A recurring theme across all narratives was the integration of ecological wisdom with spirituality. Empangeni's Indigenous worldview perceives nature as animated by spiritual presence; rivers, trees, and mountains are seen as ancestors in another form. Participants emphasized that ecological imbalance reflects moral imbalance, when respect for the earth diminishes, both human and environmental health deteriorate.

This integrated worldview contrasts sharply with Western mechanistic interpretations of biology that separate nature from spirituality. The study therefore proposes a *biocultural* interpretation of Life Sciences: one that situates ecology within moral, cultural, and spiritual frameworks. Turner et al. (2022) affirm that such Indigenous ecological philosophies are crucial for global sustainability discourses.

Recognizing spirituality as a scientific lens challenges educators to embrace holistic epistemologieswhere understanding life means understanding interdependence, reverence, and responsibility.

5.4. Indigenous Entrepreneurship and Cultural Economy

Another critical finding concerns the role of Indigenous Knowledge in rural economic development. Elders and artisans expressed enthusiasm for community-based enterprises grounded in traditional crafts, herbal medicine, and eco-tourism. The envisioned **UNIZULU** Museum and Art Shop was viewed not merely as a commercial project but as a *living archive*a place where knowledge, artistry, and cultural identity converge.This initiative aligns with Dias et al. (2023), who demonstrate that integrating local knowledge with entrepreneurship fosters both sustainability and empowerment. Participants also emphasized that commercialization must remain ethical, guided by communal benefit rather than individual profit. Such community-rooted entrepreneurship exemplifies how safeguarding knowledge can directly contribute to socio-economic resilience.

5.5. Education as a Tool for Cultural Restoration

Education emerged as both a challenge and a solution. While formal schooling has historically alienated learners from Indigenous epistemologies, it also holds transformative potential. Participants called for Life Sciences curricula that incorporate Indigenous taxonomies, herbal medicine, environmental rituals, and oral histories.

Teachers who contextualize science through Indigenous examples make the subject relevant and affirming for rural learners. For instance, when a teacher explains photosynthesis through the Zulu metaphor of plants "breathing life with ancestors," learners connect scientific processes to cultural meaning. This approach embodies El Yazidi and Rijal's (2024) argument that integrating Indigenous knowledge promotes deeper cognitive and cultural engagement.

6. Implications for Life Sciences Education

6.1. Decolonizing the Curriculum

The findings underscore the urgent need for Life Sciences curriculum transformation that values Indigenous epistemologies as co-equal with Western science. Decolonizing the curriculum involves shifting from a Eurocentric, text-based pedagogy to one that includes Indigenous perspectives, oral traditions, and local environmental knowledge. Curriculum designers must adopt aIntercultural approachrecognizing multiple ways of knowing and being. In practical terms, this means incorporating case studies from Empangeni's local ecology, traditional herbal medicine, and spiritual understandings of biodiversity. Such inclusivity fosters critical consciousness and helps learners see themselves as legitimate contributors to scientific knowledge.

6.2. Pedagogical Transformation

Indigenous pedagogies are grounded in relationality, experiential learning, and storytellingapproaches that connect knowledge to lived experience and community wisdom. In the context of Life Sciences education, teachers can embody these methodologies by integrating fieldwork and community visits into science lessons, inviting elders to serve as co-teachers and cultural mentors, and using storytelling to illuminate biological processes within Indigenous cosmologies. Learners can also be encouraged to document local species and explore their cultural and ecological

significance, transforming scientific inquiry into acts of cultural remembrance. Through these practices, Life Sciences classrooms become spaces of dialogue, reciprocity, and belonging, where learning is both intellectually and spiritually enriching. As Wallin and Tunison (2022) affirm, such relational teaching not only advances academic understanding but also nurtures cultural healing and restores the connection between learners, their environment, and ancestral wisdom.

6.3. Institutional Partnerships and Policy Implications

The University of Zululand can play a catalytic role in institutionalizing Indigenous Knowledge within higher education policy. Establishing acentre for Indigenous Life Scienceslinked to the UNIZULU Museumwould facilitate research, preservation, and training.

Policy frameworks should mandate ethical community engagement, ensuring that Indigenous intellectual property is protected and that benefits flow back to local custodians. Collaborative projects between UNIZULU, local schools, and traditional councils could create a sustainable ecosystem of knowledge exchange.

6.4. Implications for Teacher Education

Teacher training programs must equip educators with intercultural competencies and ethical awareness to engage respectfully with Indigenous knowledge. Courses on *Indigenous epistemologies in science teaching* should be integrated into Bachelor of Education and Postgraduate Diploma curricula.Teachers should be trained to facilitate dialogue rather than dominanceto guide learners in critically comparing scientific and Indigenous explanations of natural phenomena, recognizing both as valid ways of knowing.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

7.1. Conclusion

This paper has argued that safeguarding Indigenous wisdom in Empangeni is not only an act of cultural preservation but also an educational, ethical, and economic imperative. Through the integration of Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR) and Indigenous Research Paradigms, the study repositions Indigenous communities as the rightful custodians of their knowledge and co-authors of their futures.The conceptual analysis revealed that ancestral wisdomrooted in ecology, spirituality, and relational ethicsholds transformative power for Life Sciences education. It challenges reductionist notions of science and offers holistic understandings of life that are both culturally and environmentally sustainable.By embedding Indigenous Knowledge in the Life Sciences curriculum, universities and schools can decolonize education, strengthen learner identity, and contribute to community empowerment. The proposed UNIZULU museum and art shop embody this vision, merging heritage preservation with entrepreneurship and experiential learning.In essence, safeguarding Indigenous wisdom is about restoring harmony: between knowledge and practice, between past and future, and between human communities and the earth. It is a call to remember that science, in its truest sense, is a way of caring for life.

7.2. Recommendations

Curriculum reform must explicitly integrate Indigenous Knowledge Systems within the Life Sciences syllabi at both basic and higher education levels. This integration should draw on indigenous ecological examples, medicinal plant knowledge, and local conservation practices, allowing learners to engage with science through the lens of their own heritage and lived environment.

Institutional collaboration is essential to ensure reciprocity and cultural respect. Formal partnerships should be established between the University of Zululand, local traditional councils, and Indigenous communities to co-design research projects and educational programs. Such partnerships embody the decolonial ethos of shared authority and mutual learning.

Teacher education requires transformation to prepare educators who can confidently teach from pluralistic epistemological standpoints. Modules on Indigenous worldviews, relational pedagogy, and decolonial methodologies should be embedded within pre-service and in-service teacher development programs, particularly those serving rural schools.

The ethical safeguarding of Indigenous intellectual property is critical. Institutions must develop clear policies that protect ancestral knowledge from commercial exploitation and ensure equitable benefit-sharing in any research or entrepreneurial initiatives. This protection honors the principle that knowledge belongs to the community, not the market.

Community-based entrepreneurship initiatives should be supported as vehicles for both empowerment and cultural preservation. The establishment of spaces such as the proposed UNIZULU Museum and Art Shop can provide platforms for showcasing Indigenous crafts, ecological innovations, and heritage products. Training in ethical entrepreneurship and digital archiving will help sustain these initiatives while maintaining cultural integrity.

Intergenerational learning initiatives are central to the survival of ancestral wisdom. Mentorship programs pairing elders with youth can foster the transmission of crafts, ecological practices, and spiritual teachings, thereby strengthening cultural continuity and social cohesion.

Finally, the dissemination of research must be accessible and culturally grounded. Findings should be translated into isiZulu and shared through community-friendly formats such as local radio, exhibitions, and participatory workshops. This ensures that knowledge generated through research returns home — to the very communities that inspired and sustained it.

References

Ajani, Y. A., Oladokun, B. D., Olarongbe, S. A., Amaechi, M. N., Rabiu, N., & Bashorun, M. T. (2024). *Revitalizing indigenous knowledge systems via digital media technologies for sustainability of indigenous languages.Preservation, Digital Technology & Culture, 53*(1), 35–44.

Barman, P. (2024). *The ethical frontier: Integrating IKS for sustainable economic development.MSW Management Journal*, *34*(1), 228–238.

Baulch, A. L. (2024). *Ancient wisdom, modern prosperity: Harnessing traditional ecological knowledge to revitalize human well-being.Journal of Indigenous Studies, 12*(3), 45–61.

Buthelezi, P. Z. G. (2025). Developing a Theory for Conceptualizing Ancestral Life Sciences (Traditional Teachings of Life). Science of Law, 2025(2), 290-301.

Dias, Á., Silva, G. M., Patuleia, M., & González-Rodríguez, M. R. (2023). *Developing sustainable business models: Local knowledge acquisition and tourism lifestyle entrepreneurship.* In *Knowledge Management, Organisational Learning and Sustainability in Tourism* (pp. 37–56). Routledge.

El Yazidi, R., & Rijal, K. (2024). *Science learning in the context of indigenous knowledge for sustainable development.International Journal of Ethnoscience and Technology in Education, 1*(1), 28–41.

Fatiha, N. (2021). *Integrating Western and Native research methods: A qualitative exploration of students' experiences and elders' wisdom.* Master's thesis, University of Minnesota.

Gómez-Baggethun, E. (2022). *Is there a future for indigenous and local knowledge?The Journal of Peasant Studies, 49*(6), 1139–1157.

Gupta, A. (2024). *Codes and coding.* In *Qualitative Methods and Data Analysis Using ATLAS.Ti: A Comprehensive Researchers' Manual* (pp. 99–125). Springer.

Hunt, S. C., & Young, N. L. (2021). *Blending Indigenous sharing circle and Western focus group methodologies for the study of Indigenous children's health: A systematic review.International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 20*, 16094069211015112.

Makgamatha, M. G. (2025). *Bridging heritage and enterprise to address socio-economic issues: A policy analysis of Indigenous Knowledge System businesses in South Africa.* In *Revaluation and Preservation of Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Modern Society* (pp. 367–384). IGI Global.

Masoga, M. A. (2023). *The interface between ecotheology and practical theology: An African Indigenous Knowledge Systems perspective.Stellenbosch Theological Journal, 9*(2), 1–20.

McFarlane, S. J., Occa, A., Peng, W., Awonuga, O., & Morgan, S. E. (2024). *Community-based participatory research (CBPR) to enhance participation of racial/ethnic minorities in clinical trials: A 10-year systematic review.Emergent Health Communication Scholarship, 19–36.*

Mohanapriya, B., & Suriya, M. (2025). *Indian Knowledge Systems: Principles and Practices.* SSS Publications.

Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. (2020). *Decolonization, development and knowledge in Africa: Turning over a new leaf.* Routledge.

Nyimbili, F., & Nyimbili, L. (2024). *Types of purposive sampling techniques with their examples and application in qualitative research studies.British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies, 5*(1), 90–99.

Perry, C. R. (2024). *Telling stories to improve Indigenous language education: How storytelling can support Indigenized curricula.* University of Toronto.

Silvestru, A. (2023). *Weaving relations: Exploring epistemological interactions between Indigenous and Western paradigms in education for sustainable development.Journal of Sustainable Pedagogy, 8*(2), 115–137.

Turner, N. J., Cuerrier, A., & Joseph, L. (2022). *Well grounded: Indigenous peoples' knowledge, ethnobiology, and sustainability.People and Nature, 4*(3), 627–651.

Wallin, D., & Tunison, S. (2022). *Following their voices: Supporting Indigenous students' learning by fostering culturally sustaining pedagogies.Australian and International Journal of Rural Education, 32*(2), 75–90.

Wilson, D., Mikahere-Hall, A., & Sherwood, J. (2022). *Using Indigenous kaupapa Māori research methodology with constructivist grounded theory: Generating a theoretical explanation of Indigenous women's realities.International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 25*(3), 375–390.

Author Information

Buthelezi Penelope Zamashenge Gugulethu
University of Zululand
https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7171-4701